



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/659,309	09/11/2003	Howard A. Ketelson	213202.00481	3568	
27160	7590 02/04/2004		EXAMINER		
PATENT ADMINSTRATOR			BOYER, CHARLES I		
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
525 WEST MONROE STREET SUITE 1600			1751		
CHICAGO, 1	IL 60661-3693		DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/659,309 Applicant(s)

Ketelson

Examiner

Charles Boyer

Art Unit 1751



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensi	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, m	ay a reply t	pe timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p	l date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	e statutory minimum	of thirty (30	0) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to becon	MONTHS for ne ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
- Any rej	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, ev	en if timely	filed, may reduce any			
Status	patent term adjustment. 360 37 CTT 1.70-407.						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 11, 20	003					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-46			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-46			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ition Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	d or b)	$\overline{}$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	j.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	in App	olication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
.14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		_				
a) [
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		4)	nman, IDT	2.413) Poper No(s)			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			D-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9/11/03 6) Other:							
-οι (X C) ini	officiation Disclosure officiality (1.10-1443) Faper Holst.	o, oner.					

Office Action Summary Charles Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 10/659309

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-44 of U. S. Patent No. 6,635,613 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 1751

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The claims differ only slightly in wording. The basic content is identical.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1311. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1311. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-after-final amendments and (703) 872-9311 for after-final amendments.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Clar Boyes

Charles Boyer

January 1, 2004